UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,942	02/12/2002	Ian L. Brown	50179-101	3940
Robert L. Price	7590 04/23/200	EXAMINER		
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/072,942	BROWN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin E. Weddington	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 D	ecember 2005				
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<i>i</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under Lx parte Quayre, 1935 C.D. 11, 405 C.C. 215.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' drawings filed February 12, 2002; and the preliminary amendments filed February 12, 2002 and December 13, 2005 have been received and entered.

Due to the complex nature of the claims, no request for an oral election is being made. Please see MPEP 812.01.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1 and 5-19 are drawn to a method of enhancing a resident population of microorganisms in a selected site of the gastrointestinal tract of an animal, the method comprising a selected modified or unmodified resistant starch or mixture thereof in combination of one or more probiotic microorganisms, classified in class 514, subclass 60 and class 424, subclass 93.4.
- II. Claims 2 and 5-19 are drawn to a method of suppressing an undesired resident population of microorganism in a selected site of the gastrointestinal tract of an animal, the method comprising a selected modified or unmodified resistant starch or mixture thereof in combination of one or more probiotic microorganisms, classified in class 514, subclass 60 and class 424, subclass 93.4.
- III. Claims 3-19 are drawn to a method of reducing the incidence of colorectal cancer or colonic atrophy in an animal, the method comprising providing to the animal one or more short chain fatty acid

- (SCFA) producing probiotic microorganisms and a carrier, classified in class 514, subclass 558 and class 424, subclass 93.4.
- IV. Claims 20-30 are drawn to an improved probiotic composition comprising one or more probiotic microorganisms and a carrier, classified in class 424, subclass 93.4.
- V. Claims 31 and 32 are drawn to an improved method of providing microorganisms to the gastrointestinal tract of an animal with a probiotic composition, classified in class 424, subclass 93.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions IV and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product.

The five inventions have separate statuses in the art as shown by their different classification and separate subject matter for inventive effort. Further, a reference, which anticipates any one of the above inventions, would neither anticipate nor make obvious of the other inventions. Each such invention is capable of supporting is own patent. For these reasons, the restriction requirement is proper.

To be complete, applicants' response must include a provisional election even though the requirement may be traverse.

Art Unit: 1614

The applicants are required to elect a single invention for examination purposes.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims.

Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where

Art Unit: 1614

the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 pm-9:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Kevin E. Weddington **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1614

/Kevin E. Weddington/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614 Art Unit: 1614